

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Siddall
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286223
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Siddall
<b>Given Name</b>	Sandra
<b>Person ID</b>	1286223
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There are already too many new developments in the area. There are not enough amenities ie school, go surgeries etc in the area to sustain further development. Also the traffic at presents cannot take any more cars. There are several bottle necks at mort lane round about with cars taking short cuts through healthfield road through to sale Lane and further bottle neck leading down to Mosley Common Road to east lincs Rd. green belt areas are becoming very scarce in local area and wildlife will suffer. Peel holdings do not care about the environment just profit.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plant trees not house preserve green belt areas.